To: Steve Vandegrift/ADA/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: David Gratson dgratson@neptuneinc.org

Sent: Wed 7/11/2012 9:52:44 PM
Subject: Fwd: General Questions
COC JOB 17997 rec'd 4-18 & 4-19.pdf
COC JOB 18029 rec'd 4-24-12.pdf
COC JOB 18047 rec'd 4-26-12.pdf
COC JOB 18101 rec'd 5-2-12.pdf
steve@isotechlabs.com
dgratson@neptuneinc.org
217-398-3490
dgratson@neptuneinc.org
(505) 514-0019
505-660-8521
505-662-0707 X29
505-662-0500

FYI from Isotech

----- Forwarded message ------

http://www.neptuneandco.com dataprivacy@weatherford.com http://www.neptuneandco.com

From: Pelphrey, Steven R <steve@isotechlabs.com>

Date: Wed, Jul 11, 2012 at 3:17 PM Subject: RE: General Questions

To: David Gratson dgratson@neptuneinc.org

Hi Dave,

I have a few answers for you:

The NIST date is simply the date that the standard was prepared for analysis. The Count date is just that, the date the NIST standard was counted. You may note that we counted the one prepared on April 25th twice, because there was only 11 days elapsed time from then until the 2nd count date on May 6th.

The values we measure are specific to the instrument we use, and these values are used for calibration. So in those terms, we do not verify the accuracy of these measurements, but rather we know the value of the NIST standard, and we use that info along with the measured counts to calibrate the LSC. In this table, what we want to see is stability in the measured values, to confirm that the instrument remains stable (within 1 sigma limits). The values are cpm/g which are specific to each instrument, so if you had data from all 3 of our scintillation counters, these values would be very different.

We document the cell calibrations. Ideally we try to calibrate twice a year, which is where the 6 months came from, but we cannot always do that depending on workload. Sometimes it may be 8 or 9 months between calibrations, but we do them all at least once per year.

Р	aa	е	2

COC's are attached...

Our corrective action on 2H of methane is at 4 permil. If differences are greater than that, we re-analyze the sample. However, for this EPA work, Christy tells me we are working at a 3 permil action limit, so based on that criteria we should re-analyze the sample.

Let me know if you have any other questions.

Thank you,

Steve

Steve Pelphrey General Manager Isotech Laboratories, Inc 1308 Parkland Court Champaign, IL 61821 217-398-3490

From: David Gratson [mailto:dgratson@neptuneinc.org]

Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 2:21 PM

To: Pelphrey, Steven R Subject: General Questions

Hi Steve,

thanks for going through those questions with me.

Here is the tritium data. My question is, how do I know that the accuracy of the NIST value, and that it is within 1 sigma limits of your existing data? I.e. how do I verify the accuracy of what you are getting from the NIST counts? When the enrichment cells are calibrated (every 6 months from you QAP), do you document this?

Is it possible to get the Chain-of-Custody pdf for these four job numbers? Let me know if I should have Rick Wilkins request that information: 17997, 18209, 18047, 18101

```
JOB#
               NIST date
       count date
       Value
       +/-
       SD
               AVG NIST:
                                                     (TU/cpm/g)
               1-Mar
       6-Mar
       435.63
       +/-
       2.62
       435.63
       +/-
       2.62
               9-Mar
       17-Mar
       433.67
       +/-
       2.61
       434.65
       +/-
       2.61
               20-Mar
       28-Mar
       436.85
       +/-
       2.65
       435.39
       +/-
       2.62
               20-Mar
       7-Apr
       439.67
       +/-
       2.68
       436.46
       +/-
       2.64
               12-Apr
```

16-Apr

```
435.82
       +/-
       2.21
       436.33
       +/-
       2.55
               25-Apr
       29-Apr
       437.55
       +/-
       2.64
       436.53
       +/-
       2.57
       6-May
       437.99
       +/-
       2.65
       436.74
       +/-
       2.58
               2-May
       10-May
       433.83
       +/-
       2.62
       436.38
       +/-
       2.59
18047 EPA
               15-May
       20-May
       439.17
       +/-
       2.85
       436.69
       +/-
       2.61
```

1 other question, associated with C and H isotopes of dissolved methane. If your duplicate analysis exceeds 3 per mil for $2\delta H$ is there action taken at the lab? See Job Number 17997, sample EPAMW02-0412-1 for example.

Thank you again,

18101 EPA

Dave

--D

David Gratson, CEAC

Environmental Chemist Neptune and Company, Inc. 1505 15th St..Suite B Los Alamos. NM 87544

Best way to reach me -->(505) 514-0019 (will forward to my cell phone if no answer)

Second best way ---> Cell: 505-660-8521

Work Voice: 505-662-0707 X29

Fax: 505-662-0500

http://www.neptuneandco.com

"Nature holds the key to our aesthetic, intellectual, cognitive and even spiritual satisfaction." E. O. Wilson.

Confidentiality Notice: This email, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient or recipients and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message.

This message may contain confidential and privileged information. If it has been sent to you in error, please reply to advise the sender of the error and then immediately delete it. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, disclose or otherwise use this message. The sender disclaims any liability for such unauthorized use. PLEASE NOTE that all incoming e-mails sent to Weatherford e-mail accounts will be archived and may be scanned by us and/or by external service providers to detect and prevent threats to our systems, investigate illegal or inappropriate behavior, and/or eliminate unsolicited promotional e-mails (spam). This process could result in deletion of a legitimate e-mail before it is read by its intended recipient at our organization. Moreover, based on the scanning results, the full text of e-mails and attachments may be made available to Weatherford security and other personnel for review and appropriate action. If you have any concerns about this process, please contact us at dataprivacy@weatherford.com.

-- David Gratson, CEAC

Environmental Chemist Neptune and Company, Inc. 1505 15th St..Suite B Los Alamos, NM 87544

Best way to reach me -->(505) 514-0019 (will forward to my cell phone if no answer) Second best way ---> Cell: 505-660-8521

Work Voice: 505-662-0707 X29

Fax: 505-662-0500

http://www.neptuneandco.com

"Nature holds the key to our aesthetic, intellectual, cognitive and even spiritual satisfaction." E. O. Wilson.

Confidentiality Notice: This email, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient or recipients and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message.